Page 1 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK 2 CASE NO. 18-MD-2865 (LAK) 3 IN RE: 4 CUSTOMS AND TAX ADMINISTRATION OF 5 THE KINGDOM OF DENMARK (SKATTEFORVALTNINGEN) TAX REFUND 6 SCHEME LITIGATION 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 CONFIDENTIAL 14 15 16 REMOTE VTC VIDEOTAPED EXPERT DEPOSITION UNDER 17 ORAL EXAMINATION OF 18 MAX HAYDEN 19 20 DATE: April 13, 2022 21 22 23 24 25 REPORTED BY: MICHAEL FRIEDMAN, CCR

1	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Stand by.
2	The time is 7:09 a.m. New York
3	time, and we are back on record.
4	
5	MAX HAYDEN,
6	called as an expert witness, having been
7	first duly sworn according to law, testifies as
8	follows:
9	
10	
11	
12	EXAMINATION BY MR. OXFORD:
13	Q All right. Good morning,
14	Mr. Hayden.
15	My name again, Neil Oxford. I'm
16	going to be asking you some questions today.
17	I represent the plaintiff SKAT in
18	this matter.
19	Can you tell me, please, whether
20	you've testified previously in any capacity?
21	A No, I haven't.
22	Q Okay, fine.
23	MR. PRUDEN: Yeah, we're having a
24	little trouble getting his audio
25	calibrated. Let's go off again for a

	1	doing for MPT Dubai was broker and custodian.	
	2	I'm not aware that ED&F was also providing	
	3	anything associated with compliance or	
	4	surveillance or anything of that nature that	
	5	would have ensued; that they looked at the	
	6	activities of MPT Dubai to judge whether	
	7	those trades were as they needed to be.	
	8	That would very much be the	
	9	responsibility of MPT Dubai.	
	10	l'm not familiar with situations	
	11	where custodians will review their clients'	
	12	activity when they're essentially only being	
	13	employed to settle business to make sure that	
	14	the to make sure their clients are trading	
	15	in a particular way.	
	16	Q Going back to the question, sir, of	
	17	the differences, if any, between the Annex E	
	18	trades and the non-Annex E cum-ex trades.	
	19	You told me that they were	
:	20	different because the seller in the Annex E	
:	21	trades was MPT Dubai and the client of	
:	22	ED&F Man.	
:	23	In the work that you did on this	
:	24	case, did you observe any other differences	
:	25	in the Annex E trades and the non-Annex E	

1	cum-ex trades?
2	MR. PRUDEN: Objection.
3	A l've reviewed trades, which are
4	Annex E, non-Annex E, and also Appendix F.
5	And because these trades are
6	collectively driven by the strategy around
7	dividend arbitrage, is there's numbers of
8	similarities across them all, and it's a fact
9	that there would be hedged transactions.
10	We've seen some futures. There are
11	potentially short-cycle trades, et cetera, et
12	cetera.
13	So I have seen many similarities
14	across the whole list of trades that I have
15	seen, but I would say that fundamental point
16	that the Annex E trades are quite unique to
17	the degree that, as I said before, MPT Dubai
18	employed ED&F as its custodian as well.
19	In the other in the non-Annex E
20	trades, an example, that is not the case, and
21	I'm not aware of any of the other
22	counter-parties that ED&F used to trade
23	through on behalf of their clients and
24	counter-parties that they supplied similar
25	services to.

1	Q Okay. Other than the fact that	
2	MPT Dubai was a client of ED&F Man, did you,	
3	in all the work you did on this case, sir,	
4	observe any other differences between the	
5	Annex E trades and the non-Annex E cum-ex	
6	trades?	
7	MR. PRUDEN: Objection. Asked and	
8	answered.	
9	A As I said, I've reviewed an awful	
10	lot of trades from a mixture of Appendix F,	
11	and there are enormous similarities to purely	
12	isolate one group, is I don't think	
13	appropriate in context of the dividend	
14	arbitrage business that was being conducted	
15	here.	
16	Q So that's just yes or no. Are you	
17	aware of any other differences yes or no,	
18	sir between the Annex E trades and the	
19	non-Annex E cum-ex trades other than the fact	
20	that MPT Dubai on the Annex E trades was a	
21	client of ED&F Man?	
22	MR. PRUDEN: Objection.	
23	A I think the main difference is that	
24	ED&F were executing these transactions with a	
25	range of IDBs. Some of them may have traded	

	1 through against MPT Dubai, but we can see,	
	2 because of the activity that went through	
	MPT Dubai, that many of them went elsewhere.	
	So I do know that back-to-back flow	
	of business would have gone to other gone	
	6 elsewhere to other counter-parties that we	
	7 don't know.	
	8 Q Okay. So, again, other than the	
	fact that MPT Dubai was the counter-party in	
1	O the Annex E trades and MPT Dubai was a client	
1	of ED&F Man, did you, in all the work you did	
1	on this case, identify any other differences	
1	3 between the Annex E and non-Annex E cum-ex	
1	4 trades?	
1	MR. PRUDEN: Objection.	
1	6 A There could have been differences	
1	7 of securities that were traded. There could	
1	8 be differences on prices that were traded. I	
1	9 would have to look at specific trades.	
2	Q I'm not asking you to speculate,	
2	1 sir. I'm not asking you to say "could," I'm	
2	2 asking you whether, in all the work you did	
2	on this case, you observed any other	
2	differences between the Annex E and	
2	5 non-Annex E cum-ex trades.	

1	MR. PRUDEN: Objection.
2	Q Just give me a list
3	MR. PRUDEN: Objection.
4	Q if you have one.
5	A And differences sorry.
6	Differences to the extent that the
7	trades were structured slightly different, or
8	is it purely down to the fact that MPT Dubai
9	was employing ED&F as a custodian and broker?
10	But for the non-Annex E trades, you're saying
11	that the other counter-parties were not, and
12	so, therefore, that is the only difference,
13	specific difference that I can see.
14	Is that the playback of your
15	question?
16	Q I'm not testifying, sir. I'm
17	trying to get you to tell me what your
18	opinion is, if you have one, on the
19	differences between Annex E and non-Annex E
20	cum-ex trades.
21	MR. PRUDEN: Objection.
22	Is that a question are you
23	re-asking the same question, or do you
24	want to put it another way?
25	MR. OXFORD: That's a question.

		i
1	Q What are the differences between	
2	that you observed, if any, between the	
3	Annex E and non-Annex E cum-ex trades?	
4	A As I said, the key difference is	
5	the fact that MPT Dubai was identified as	
6	being party to the trades on Annex E. That	
7	is the key difference.	
8	And to that end, that's only come	
9	about because of a subsequent discovery by	
10	ED&F who, while providing custody and	
11	brokerage services to MPT Dubai, can see that	
12	they for a subset of transactions, they	
13	were party to those trades and they did not	
14	cover their sales.	
15	And that is the difference, as I	
16	see it, between Annex E and non-Annex E and	
17	is very key to this.	
18	Q I'm going to ask you to make	
19	another assumption, sir.	
20	Are you ready?	
21	A Okay.	
22	Q I'm going to ask you to assume that	
23	the ultimate counter-party in the non-Annex E	
24	cum-ex trades also did not cover their short	
25	sales until after the ex date.	

1	market maker.
2	Do you have any reason to disagree
3	with that?
4	MR. PRUDEN: Objection.
5	A I haven't looked up MUFG's licenses
6	and permissions, so I couldn't really comment
7	on that.
8	But if that's something that
9	Mr. Wade has proven in his citations, then I
10	have no reason to deny it.
11	Q Can you agree, just leaving
12	Mitsubishi aside for a moment, that if it
13	were to be established that a non-Annex E
14	cum-ex seller was subject to U.K. corporation
15	tax on its profits, it would have been
16	entitled to a partial refund of 12 percent on
17	any Danish dividends received?
18	MR. PRUDEN: Objection.
19	A Again, as part of my analysis, I
20	haven't gone specifically through the tax
21	treaty that Denmark has with other countries,
22	so I couldn't tell you verbatim if that was
23	accurate in all cases.
24	So I can't really comment on that
25	based on the analysis that I have done to

1	Q In forming your opinions, sir, did
2	you consider the impact of the Danish
3	corporate tax act?
4	MR. PRUDEN: Objection.
5	A I'm not familiar with that act.
6	Q Are you aware that under Danish
7	law, entities that are resident in E.U.
8	member states, including France, for example,
9	that have incorporated council directive 2011
10	16 EU can receive 85 percent of the gross
11	dividend on Danish shares rather than
12	73 percent?
13	MR. PRUDEN: Objection.
14	A I'm not aware of that particular
15	act.
16	Q Can you agree that in an
17	important factor to consider in analyzing
18	these trades is what the tax treatment of the
19	sellers in the cum-ex non-Annex E trades
20	would be if they simply decided to hold their
21	position?
22	MR. PRUDEN: Objection.
23	A For the for these types of
24	transactions, which are obviously driven by
25	dividend, then it would make sense to

Errata Sheet

Caption:

In re Customs & Tax Administration of the Kingdom of Denmark

(Skatteforvaltningen) Tax Refund Litigation, Master Docket No. 18-

md-2865 (LAK)

Witness:

Max F. Hayden

Deposition Date:

April 13, 2022

Page/Line	Now Reads	Should Read	Reason for Change
17:11	That's my understanding, yes.	That's my understanding to the extent you understand this "case" to mean the action brought by SKAT against ED&F and others in England.	Clarification
19:2-3	reflective on the contracts to sign to that end.	reflected on the contracts designed to that end.	Transcription Error
20:24	sent	set	Transcription Error
21:5-6	ex-div and ex-dividend date	ex-date and ex-dividend date	Correction
23:3	extant period	ex-dividend period	Transcription Error
23:20-21	settlement date, prior settlement date.	settlement date prior to record date.	Transcription Error
25:1-3	That in parts of my report I have used the term cum-ex specifically for the transactions that have those attributes.	In parts of my report I have used the term cum-ex specifically for the transactions that have those attributes, because that is how Mr. Wade uses the term.	Clarification
25:22	No. Everything is in my report.	No. Everything is in my report as concerns Mr. Wade's first report dated December 31, 2021. To the extent there are additional opinions expressed in Mr. Wade's subsequent reports, particularly in his February 28, 2022 report which responded to my February 1, 2022 report, I	Clarification

Page/Line	Now Reads	Should Read	Reason for Change
A REST ENTERNA		may have additional	
		opinions or reactions to	
		those materials.	Commence of the Commence of th
29:8-9	I don't have any opinions to	I don't have any written	Clarification
	add to that, no.	opinions to add to that, no.	
29:22-23	I haven't been asked to	I haven't been asked to	Clarification
	reply to that report, either.	produce a written report that replies to that report, either.	
32:19	engaged him	engaged in	Transcription Error
32:25-33:1	I received references in that reports that Mr. Wade's used, so documents.	I received Mr. Wade's report and the documents that he either cited in his report or listed as having considered.	Clarification
33:3-9	If there was anything else that I didn't receive, which was either in that report at the time that it wasn't passed to me or, indeed, I acquired additional information around questions I had, then I passed them through Binder and K&L Gates to supply that to me.	If there was anything that I didn't receive, including materials that were either referenced in Mr. Wade's report or that I felt would be helpful to answer other questions I had, then I asked Binder and K&L Gates to supply those additional materials to me.	Clarification
33:18, 24	Adam Wall	Adam Piper	Correction
34:2, 34:15	Mr. Wall	Mr. Piper	Correction
34:18	attained	obtained	Transcription Error
35:4	No, only these.	No, only the documents that you sent to me.	Clarification
36:3-4	stop loan	stock loan	Transcription Error
36:18-20	so I haven't been involved with dividend arbitrage directly in my career but from a structuring perspective,	but I haven't been involved with dividend arbitrage directly in my career from a structuring perspective,	Clarification
38:10	Are the reasons	Are there reasons	Transcription Error
40:25-41:1	describes how their investment strategy and decisions was followed and came about	describes how their investment strategy and decisions came about and were followed	Clarification
41:2	you what	you from what	Transcription Error
41:15	the starts of transactions	the types of transactions	Transcription Error
41:21	to market	what market	Transcription Error
11.41	to market	WHAT HIAIKET	Transcription Effor

Page/Line	Now Reads	Should Read	Reason for Change
56:6	Hayden	Oxford	Transcription Error
64:7	in part of	a part of	Transcription Error
65:9	IFC	DIFC	Transcription Error
66:18	as if	as to whether	Clarification
66:18-20	tact	tactic	Correction
69:19-20	Well, they're very different, so I would say no.	Well, they're very different, so I would say they wouldn't have suffered from the same flaws.	Clarification
69:24	brokering	brokerage	Transcription Error
72:1	2019	2020	Correction
72:8	operation-related	operational-related	Transcription Error
72:21-22	shown in the	discovered	Correction
72:23	cover up their sales	cover their sales	Transcription Error
73:8-9	which was the ones	which were the ones	Transcription Error
74:1	that is that	this is that	Transcription Error
74:2	from accounts	accounts	Correction
74:15	through human hands or operations person	through the human hands of an operations person	Transcription Error
75:5-6	I've seen the reconciliations. Faxes were produced	I've seen the reconciliations that were produced	Transcription Error
80:13-14	moment, and then I corrected myself, but	moment, but	Correction
83:3	what	when	Transcription Error
94:15	the	a	Transcription Error
94:21	paying	dividend paying	Correction
94:22	the party who sold the shares	a party who sold shares	Correction
103:13	calls	pools	Transcription Error
107:23	is	there is	Transcription Error
108:1	it has crossed, it has	it has	Correction
108:3	LSC	LSE	Transcription Error
110:25-	as a party ex-dividend	as a counterparty ex-	Correction
111:1	shares, one, I won't	dividend shares from someone else, I won't	
112:11	trade-on-trade date	trade, on the trade date,	Transcription Error
114:1	so;	so,	Transcription Error
114:4	have paid for them	paid for	Clarification
118:14	rights	right	Transcription Error
123:3	it	its	Transcription Error
125:6	purchasing	purchasing pension	Correction
125:17	G	the	Transcription Error

Page/Line	Now Reads	Should Read	Reason for Change
127:17-18	the pension plans that purchased	the pension plans purchased	Clarification
129:11	"However,	"However,"	Transcription Error
129:17	trade date," would	trade date, would	Transcription Error
131:9	EZMA's	ESMA's	Spelling Error
132:21	issuances	issuance	Correction
132:22	subsequent	the consequent	Transcription Error
132:24	actually having	having actually	Correction
133:13	EZMA's	ESMA's	Spelling Error
134:12			Spening sarer
134:19	THE ELECTRIC LAND AND	10 mg 1	ear local
165:21	and Colored	and the second	
322:18	All the min and the	leafthre.	
133:22-23	"ESMA rights. Those	ESMA writes, "Those	Transcription Error
133:25	transfer, either	transfer (either	Transcription Error
134:1	lending,	lending)	Transcription Error
134:4	paperwork, including tax certificates	the paperwork (including tax certificates)	Transcription Error
134:21-22	it ESMA as read	the ESMA document as read	Correction
135:8	expertise	that expertise	Correction
136:14	that's involved	that includes	Correction
137:17	2019	2020	Correction
138:12	and really understand	and I really need to understand	Transcription Error
155:10	final	fund	Transcription Error
155:11	expectation. There's	expectation there's	Transcription Error
158:10	Dubai	(Dubai)	Transcription Error
158:11	or an	or	Transcription Error
159:3	to the fact	in fact	Correction
159:23	"of sufficient number of shares"	of a sufficient number of shares	Transcription Error
161:23	reflected	reflective	Transcription Error
162:1	So for – effectively	So to effectively	Clarification
162:5	independenter dealer- brokers	interdealer-brokers	Transcription Error
165:2-4	That was one paragraph, the context of that. I would need to go through	That was one paragraph. To understand the context of that, I would need to go through	Clarification
168:12	depositions	dispositions	Transcription Error
171:4	do know that what	do not know what	Transcription Error
172:25- 173:1	like, "These are my settlements,"	like internalized settlements	Transcription Error

Page/Line	Now Reads	Should Read	Reason for Change
223:5, 11,			8
22			
224:9, 16,			
24			Harrist Land Trees.
225:8, 23	The second secon		
234:20	esentil bosser	eriona bershiot	com Hin
235:18, 21		and the land of	
236:17	Maria Danie	brown Egyltailla	to the little
237:9, 10,	Trans.		JIF 205a
16, 19, 24,	s assert"	a a m	eated Pin
25			
238:2, 6, 7,	made: T	La #	two tests to the same
18, 25			1 sheet 2.100
287:10	temat little	14479	12.07
214:23	7071	70, 71	Transcription Error
214:25	an, "ED&F	an ED&F	Transcription Error
215:1	Charlotte Woodward,"	"Charlotte Woodward"	Transcription Error
215:3, 4,	Scofield	Schofield	Spelling Error
24	Social		opening Error
216:22	151 pound million, 525,000	151,525,000	Clarification
217:18	"Below please confirm trade	"Please confirm below	Correction
	dates."	trades."	Constitution
219:9	are	are in	Transcription Error
220:9-12	RBC, and again, I would	RBC—and again, I would	Transcription Error
	have to check with them	have to check with them	
	directly because I haven't.	directly, because I haven't,	
	But you may have done,	but you may have done—	
	which	which	
225:18	be June	be due	Transcription Error
225:18	is June	is due	Transcription Error
226:2	un-deliver	on-deliver	Transcription Error
228:9	Appendix C, Annex E	Appendix C Annex E	Transcription Error
	trades	Trades	
228:9-10	Appendix E/non-Annex E	Appendix C Non-Annex E	Transcription Error
	trades,	Trades	F
229:1	Osorry	Sorry	Spelling Error
232:23	that, "IDBs	that IDBs	Transcription Error
233:1	nothing."	nothing.	Transcription Error
233:20	appropriate	inappropriate	Transcription Error
234:24-25	Luther Chair	Lutetia	Spelling Error
236:15	"In	in	Transcription Error
237:5-7	So it was ED&F see	So ED&F themselves see	Clarification
431.3-1	themselves, Latesha, as their	Lutetia as their ultimate	Clarification
		counterparty because they	20 5616
	ultimate counter-party,	can't see through them to	

Page/Line	Now Reads	Should Read	Reason for Change
	because they can't see	whomever Lutetia may be	
	through them.	acting on behalf of.	-
240:7-8	ERISA principle	riskless principal	Transcription Error
244:17	is	are	Clarification
244:20	Oon Oa	on a	Transcription Error
245:8	fine-level rational	financially rational	Transcription Error
247:9-10	council directive 2011 16 EU	Council Directive 2011/16/EU	Transcription Error
251:3	extremely difficult to obtain	extremely difficult	Clarification
252:9-10	Appendix C, Annex E	Appendix C Annex E,	Transcription Error
252:10	Appendix C, non-Annex E	Appendix C Non-Annex E,	Transcription Error
253:20	it	he	Clarification
255:10	isn't a book of business transactions	is a book of business where transactions	Transcription Error
257:17	certainty	certainly	Transcription Error
259:6	others,	"Other,"	Transcription Error
261:14	Page	Trade	Transcription Error
268:14-15	The ex date drops at the time of the market.	The market drops at the time of ex date.	Correction
268:18	exchange is to	exchange	Correction
269:25	holders, 73 percent	holders with 73 percent	Correction
270:25	and	end	Transcription Error
273:4-6	But the consumer being these specialist funds all have – because they're trading in the market,	But the consumer, these specialist funds, are trading in the market,	Clarification
276:10	Euro Clear	Euroclear	Spelling Error
277:13-15	that they internalized settlements, was happening in the books of custodians.	that internalized settlements were happening in the books of custodians.	Clarification
277:17	settlements	settlement	Spelling Error
277:25	can	can't	Transcription Error
279:8	knowing	knows	Correction
279:12	counter-parties	central counter-parties	Correction
280:19	that high-frequency	that if the high-frequency	Transcription Error
284:12	in	within	Transcription Error
284:13	in	on	Transcription Error
284:18	And then	And	Correction
286:1	release of shares	reuse of the shares	Transcription Error
288:12	straight	their	Transcription Error
288:21	is a	the	Transcription Error
289:16	in stock	in and stock	Transcription Error

Page/Line	Now Reads	Should Read	Reason for Change
290:4	settles	settled	Transcription Error
290:12	Adam Wall	Andrew Wall	Correction
290:14-21	But a conversation I would expect to have about a person in settlements that is responsible for a depot and optimizes it would be very well-versed in the devices and functions that would be required for them to meet their target of not having any fails and to not lose too much money to fund that process through the settlement day.	But that's a conversation I would expect to have with a person in settlements—they are responsible for a depot and optimize it, and they would be very well-versed in the devices and functions that would be required for them to meet their target of not having any fails and to not lose too much money to fund that process through the settlement day.	Clarification
291:16	prevailed	prepared	Transcription Error
291:17	is the – effectively	is effectively	Clarification
293:25	electronic	electronic form	Clarification
296:13	an nominee	a nominee	Spelling Error
296:17	we	would	Transcription Error
299:24	remitted	permitted	Transcription Error
300:5	and a	and the	Transcription Error
300:15 314:13	marketing claim	market claim	Transcription Error
301:17	does	do	Transcription Error
301:22	but	and	Clarification
302:5	is achieved settlements	actually settled	Transcription Error
303:13	obtaining a financing loan from them	obtaining financing from them	Clarification
304:15	seeing	showing	Transcription Error
305:7-8	it would not be a need to borrow	there would not be a need to borrow	Transcription Error
312:16-19	So from because the client has shorted, if that was the case, then there are the shorting they're either shorting, because they don't short it.	So because the client has shorted, ED&F will see that the client is short as they don't have the stock.	Clarification
313:22	if there is shares	if there are shares	Transcription Error
314:8-10	of a client of you and I buying two sets of shares, but not essentially receiving both the ED&F's depots by settlement date,	of you and I buying two sets of shares, but not receiving both sets of shares into ED&F's depots by settlement date,	Clarification

Page/Line	Now Reads	Should Read	Reason for Change
314:18	So despite the fact that the shares	So the fact that the shares	Clarification
314:19	depot sum	depot to sum	Transcription Error
320:3-4	in respect to the record date	with respect to the record date	Correction
320:7	payment distribution	payment and distribution	Clarification
320:14	was that for on or before	was that on or before	Transcription Error
321:17-18	A day after the record date purchases.	A day after the record date generally.	Clarification
322:12-13	starting both cum-ex and cum-cum	starting, "Both Cum/Ex and Cum/Cum"	Transcription Error
322:18	cum-ex case, the	Cum/Ex case the	Transcription Error
323:6	No, I'm not aware of any other.	No, I'm not aware of any that would.	Transcription Error
324:15	the 6th March	the 6th of March	Transcription Error
325:7	of March 2014	in March 2014	Clarification

Document 846-2

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that I have read the entire transcript of my deposition taken in the above-captioned matter and the same is true and accurate, save and except for changes and/or corrections as indicated by me on the deposition errata sheet hereof, with the understanding that I offer these changes as if still under

Executed this b day of May, 2022

Max F. Hayden